

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/050,588	01/18/2002	Bunkei Matsuoka	218138US2	· 7118
22850	7590 05/04/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			NGUYEN, DUC MINH	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2643	-
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Cummons	10/050,588	MATSUOKA, BUNKEI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Duc Nguyen	2643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	ction is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
	e this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is ed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 5 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.4.6.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/050,588

Art Unit: 2643

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aldridge et al (5,737,693) in view of Stern (6,625,448).

Consider claim 5. Aldridge teaches a peripheral unit (35 and 40, fig. 3) for connecting a mobile phone terminal (RF subsystem under test 25; col. 3, ln. 35-48) with a terminal evaluation unit (PC 30, col. 3, ln. 35-56) that carries out an evaluation test of the mobile phone terminal, the peripheral unit comprising a dedicated connection port (PCIF card 35, fig. 3) for connecting the terminal evaluation unit, a first general purpose connection port for connecting the mobile phone terminal (IQ port 110 or GPIO port 120, fig. 3); a second general purpose connection port (TAC port 100, fig. 3) for connecting an external device (external source 52, fig. 3); and a format converter for converting a transmission signal format between the dedicated connection port and the first general purpose connection port (col. 3, ln. 57 to col. 4, ln. 2). Aldridge, however, does not teach that the terminal evaluation unit carries out an evaluation of acoustic characteristics of the mobile phone terminal.

Stern teaches a terminal evaluation unit carries out an evaluation of acoustic characteristics of the mobile phone terminal (col. 2, ln. 64 to col. 3, ln. 51).

Application/Control Number: 10/050,588

Art Unit: 2643

Page 3

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Stern into the teachings of Aldridge, since one of the problems of testing communication devices, such as cellular phones, and landline phones, is how to test the acoustics in a realistic environment. Many systems that are tested in a controlled environment with controlled conditions may not perform the same once placed in use. A device that operated well during laboratory testing may be unacceptable once exposed to a realistic environment. Thus, it is important for the device to be tested in the same environment as it will be used by a customer, and on a live system, to ensure the device is working properly and the sound quality is acceptable.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-4 are allowed over the prior art of record.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-308-7527. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00AM-2:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on 703-305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,588

Art Unit: 2643

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Juffu Duc Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2643

4/30/04